Flow Kinetics in Porous Ceramics: Understanding with Non-Uniform Capillary Models
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The present work describes the development of a two-parameter non-uniform capillary model to describe kinetics of flow in porous solids with complex tortuous varying paths. Experimentally, the rate of fluid flow in such a non-uniform capillary is found to be orders of magnitude slower compared with a corresponding average uniform capillary. This slow rate is explained in terms of an extremely small ‘effective’ hydrodynamic radius. The origin of such an ‘unphysical’ radius is rationalized based on geometrical considerations and effective driving forces for flow through a stepped capillary. Infiltration rate parameters are derived from the geometry of the porous medium for both wetting and non-wetting conditions.

I. Introduction

Flow of fluids through porous media is frequently encountered in a large number of processes encompassing diverse disciplines, e.g., processing of composites by melt infiltration, forced oil recovery, and water flow through soils. The efficiency of such processes is to a large extent governed by the rate of fluid flow through the medium, wherein the infiltrating liquid is either a part of the system (composite processing via the directed metal oxidation (DIMOX), pressureless melt infiltration (PRIMEX) and reaction-bonded SiC (REFEL) techniques), or used for material transport (as in biological/geological processes). In particular, melt infiltration in composite processing is a complex process based on an interplay of physical phenomena (i.e., fluid flow through porous solid) and chemical effects (related to wetting of reinforcement, and formation of interfacial reaction products that dictate capillary-induced melt flow). Composite formation rates, e.g., (Al2O3, glass/Al2O3, Si/SiC, CoSi2/SiC) are found to be extremely slow in the range of mm/h−cm/h. Such rates are two to three orders of magnitude slower than that predicted by viscous flow-controlled rise through a ‘pore size distribution-averaged’ uniform capillary, which predicts infiltration velocities of the order ~mm/sec. Reported activation energies are in the range of few 100 kJ/mol, indicating a chemical reaction-controlled kinetics.

The influence of alloying additions on kinetics has been extensively studied in sessile drop spreading experiments wherein extremely slow drop-spreading rates (four to five orders of magnitude slower than that dictated by viscous hydrodynamic flow) have been attributed to (a) diffusion-limited spreading and (b) local reaction-controlled spreading. Similar effects during infiltration into porous bodies have been reported: Al/TiC and NiSi/C. Capillary flow in such reactive couples is governed by bulk diffusion of the solute as well as the local reaction rates of the moving melt meniscus with the ceramic reinforcement, both of which ensure good wettability.

Over and above such complexities is the issue of contact angle-driven melt flow through the porous medium, which could possibly be rate limiting for non-reactive systems.

Based on the topology of the porous medium, fluid flow has been analyzed as (a) structural models, which describe the porous media with a particular geometry and (b) non-structural models based on hydraulic radius theory, which consider average properties, i.e., porosity and specific surface area of porous medium (e.g., Carman−Kozeny equation). We curtail our discussion for non-structural models and restrict ourselves to structural models for the current study.

Structural models provide ease of visualization of fluid flow in porous media. Washburn first used a capillary bundle model to describe the structure of a porous body by considering the porous medium as a bundle of uniform capillaries with an ‘effective’ radius to. Kinetics of flow in the early stages can be given by the Washburn equation

\[ h^2 = \frac{r_d \gamma \cos \theta}{2 \eta} t \]  \hspace{1cm} (1a)

\[ v = \frac{r_{df} \gamma \cos \theta}{4 \eta h} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1b)

where \( \gamma \) = liquid surface tension, \( \theta \) = contact angle, \( \eta \) = liquid viscosity, and \( v \) = meniscus velocity.

However, the drawback with such a model is that an equivalent capillary radius cannot be ascribed to the porous media due to constrictions and expansions present in flow paths in real porous solids. Typically, kinetics of capillary rise by taking an average pore radius (based on the pore size distribution) was found to be two to three orders of magnitude faster than that observed experimentally.

This anomaly necessitates a structural model, which incorporates the essential converging−diverging nature of fluid flow in a porous medium and can explain the slow flow rates observed. An attempt to solve this was reported by Dullien et al., where the nature of flow in porous media was approximated by a simple stepped tube repeating unit model. Such a model ascribed the slow rise in porous media to the extremely small driving forces on the meniscus in the diverging sections and concomitantly small velocities. Based on the two-segment repeating unit, Einset explained infiltration rates of silicon into porous carbon. Sinusoidal capillary models with converging−diverging sections have been developed as more realistic representation of flow paths in porous bodies. The effect of multidimensional flow in porous media has been addressed by incorporating a tortuosity factor of 3 (for random network structure comprised of one-dimensional (1D) non-uniform capillaries) and network theory. However, the underlying physics of flow in such non-uniform capillaries and effective forces acting on the moving liquid have not been adequately addressed. The current work is an attempt to develop a non-uniform capillary model based on measurable parameters of the porous medium in a model system and to generalize it to the different conditions used in the
processing of composites, viz., melt infiltration under pressure as well as pressureless infiltration.

While the fluid flow model developed in the work cannot strictly be applied to reactive systems, we explore situations in which the present results may serve as an upper bound for the infiltration kinetics.

II. Experimental Procedure

Infiltration runs were conducted with polyethylene glycol (PEG 600, Merck, Mumbai, India) as the liquid and white fused $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (M/s Grindwell Norton, Mumbai, India) porous beds as well as slip-cast $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ samples. Powder preparation and bed preparation were carried out meticulously as described subsequently for ensuring reproducibility of results.

(1) Powder Preparation

As-received powders were classified by sieving. Sieved powders were washed with water and heated to 1200°C in order to remove impurities.

(2) Powder Bed Preparation

A small quantity of powder was poured into a glass tube and tapped until constant bed height was achieved. This process was repeated until desired bed height of 8 cm was obtained. Porosity was calculated during bed preparation based on visual measurement of height and corresponding weight. Values obtained for the different beds studied are presented in Table I, column 2.

(3) Slip-Cast Sample

Methyl cellulose was used as a binder and 25–37 μm particles were slip-cast with a solid loading of ~60%. The larger sizes could not be cast due to faster settling. Angular particles used in the study do not pack very efficiently and thus a packing density could not be cast due to faster settling. Angular particles used in the study do not pack very efficiently and thus a packing density could not be achieved. The slips were fired at 1400°C for handling strength.

(4) Infiltration Setup

A permeable cloth was firmly tied to the bottom open end of the tube to support the packed powder bed. The packed tube was suspended over an empty beaker from a weighing balance as shown (Fig. 1). PEG was slowly poured inside the beaker until the lower 10 mm of the tube was immersed under the liquid. Weight readings as a function of time were taken after 5 min of immersion to avoid transient effects. Simultaneously, interface positions were noted visually as a function of time. Infiltration was conducted into a wide variety of packed loose beds (Table I). A typical plot showing infiltration kinetics for a 25–37 μm porous bed is shown in Fig. 2. Experiments were repeated for reproducibility. Infiltration profiles for different beds were fitted to parabolic functions (Washburn equation) to obtain the ‘effective’ capillary dimensions for the packed bed. Fitted values for different beds using fluid properties $\gamma$, $\eta$, $\rho$, $\varphi$, and $\theta$ are shown in Table II. $\eta = 18.3 \times 10^{-3}$ Pa.s, $\gamma = 0.048$ J/m$^2$, $\rho = 1.13 \times 10^3$ kg/m$^3$, and $\theta = 41^\circ$ (static contact angle of sessile drop on polished $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ substrate measured using a goniometer in the present study).

It is possible that the particles may rearrange during infiltration, thereby changing the pore size distribution. However, no movement of the top of the bed could be seen during infiltration, possibly due to the limited rearrangement of the angular particles as a result of large inter-particle frictional forces.

Porous packed beds were characterized using Hg porosimetry (Poremaster PM 60GT, Quantachrome Instruments, Boynton Beach, FL). However, the bed disintegrated during pressurization. To circumvent this problem, sintered versions of the packed compacts having sufficient strength were characterized for pore size distribution. No crushing of the slip-cast compacts occurred on pressurization up to the maximum pressure of 5000 psi (~ 35 MPa). The cumulative intrusion curve for the slip-cast and sintered (1400°C) powder bed is shown in Fig. 3.

III. Results and Discussion

The pore size distribution of 0.4–30 μm (Fig. 3) for a slip-cast 25–37 μm sample was considered representative of pore size distribution for the 25–37 μm loose powder-packed bed based on the preparation methodology of the two samples, as shown later. The distribution was truncated at the lower and upper ends of the volumetric pore size distribution, $D_p(d)$, so as to exclude 5% pore volume, as the remaining 95% volume of pores would still control majority of the flow. This leads to a relevant pore size distribution of 1.4–10.8 μm for the 25–37 μm packed bed. For comparison, we have considered a 90% volumetric pore size distribution as well, which gives an effective pore size distribution of 2.2–10.3 μm. The results of infiltration kinetics for both cases will be presented subsequently.

Room-temperature imbibition of PEG 600 into different packed alumina beds provides us with a quantitative estimate of the influence of bed pore size distribution on flow kinetics. Precautions were taken to exclude the effect of other factors, viz., buoyancy, surface tension, etc., on weight gain readings.

Table I. ‘Effective Radii’ for the Different Beds Obtained from Parabolic $h^2$–$t$ Fits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Packed bed type</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>‘Effective’ Washburn radius (μm) (weight–time readings)</th>
<th>‘Effective’ Washburn radius (μm) (height–time readings)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 25–37 μm angular $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.02</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 25–37 μm spherical $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.037 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 63–75 μm angular $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 63–75 μm spherical $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 25–37 μm angular $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ slip-cast and sintered compact</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The weight gain during infiltration was converted into an equivalent height according to the relation

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \rho \frac{dV}{dt} = \rho \pi r^2 \frac{dh}{dt}$$

where $dW/dt$ = weight increase rate, $dh/dt$ = height increase rate, $\rho$ = density of PEG 600, $R$ = internal radius of glass tube used for making bed, and $\varepsilon$ = bed porosity.

Height–time data (derived from weight–time data as well as from interface tracking) for various compacts fit a parabolic 'Washburn' (Eq. (1)).

A representative plot of $h^2$ versus $t$ is shown in Fig. 2. The infiltration rate parameter was determined by linear fit to the data points.

A good parabolic fit implies a laminar, steady-state flow on a macroscopic scale inside the porous bed. It establishes equivalence between flow kinetics through the porous media and the advancing meniscus typically has a larger contact angle that is dependent on the meniscus velocity. The effective' radius for different porous beds are presented in Table I. Comparing different particle sizes (Table I), we notice that beds with larger particle sizes exhibit faster infiltration kinetics. Effective beds with larger particle sizes exhibit faster infiltration kinetics. Effective beds with larger particle sizes exhibit faster infiltration kinetics.

Table II. Effective ‘Hydrodynamic’ Radii for Pressureless Infiltration of PEG 600 into Al₂O₃ Stepped Capillaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r_{\text{max}}$ (μm)</th>
<th>$r_{\text{min}}$ (μm)</th>
<th>$r_{\text{avg}}$ (μm)</th>
<th>$r_{\text{avg},V/S}$ (μm)</th>
<th>$r_{\text{eff}} = \frac{r_{\text{avg}}}{V/S}$ (Eq. (12)) (μm)</th>
<th>$r_{\text{eff}}$ (Eq. (7)) (modeled) μm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Measured capillary rise kinetics of polyethylene glycol (PEG) 600 inside porous 25–37 μm Al₂O₃ compacts and the corresponding parabolic fit.

Fig. 3. Cumulative volumetric pore distribution curve from Hg intrusion porosimetry with a pore radius distribution of 0.2–15 μm.

The striking finding is that ‘effective radii’ in general for all beds are at least one to two orders of magnitude smaller than the average particle sizes. A better comparison is made between the ‘effective hydrodynamic’ radius, $r_{\text{eff}}$ (Table I), and the average pore size obtained from the pore size distribution. The average pore size was extracted from the pore size distribution in two ways: (a) arithmetic mean pore size, i.e., $r_{\text{avg}} = 1/2 (r_{\text{min}} + r_{\text{max}})$ and (b) volume/surface area ratio based, i.e., $r_{\text{avg},V/S} = (r_{\text{min}} + r_{\text{max}}) / (2 \pi (r_{\text{min}} r_{\text{max}}))$. The second expression can be considered to be analogous to an ‘effective hydraulic’ radius. Typically, $r_{\text{avg},V/S}$ was found to be greater than $r_{\text{avg}}$. A comparison between the two different representations, viz., $r_{\text{avg}}$ and $r_{\text{avg},V/S}$ is made (Table II, columns 3 and 4) for the simple geometry of a stepped capillary.

Thus, an experimental value of the average ‘effective’ radius of 0.06 μm is obtained for the 25–37 μm packed bed, which is nearly two orders of magnitude smaller than $r_{\text{avg}}$ of 3 μm.

It is important to highlight the concept of ‘effective’ hydrodynamic radius, $r_{\text{eff}}$ (Table I), as it represents a uniform capillary, which shows similar flow kinetics as the porous bed. It is...
known that steady-state flow kinetics in a uniform capillary is a 
balance between the capillary driving force \((\propto 1/r_{\text{uniform}})\) and 
the viscous retarding force \((\propto 1/r_{\text{uniform}}^2)\) where \(r_{\text{uniform}}\) refers to 
the physical radius of the capillary. We notice that as the capillary 
radius becomes smaller, the two terms diverge, i.e., the 
viscous drag term increases much faster than the corresponding 
increase of the capillary driving force, thereby leading to 
decreasing flow velocities with a decrease in radius. An extremely 
small effective radius indicates flow kinetics limited by a large 
pressure drop due to periodic expansion–contraction segments 
during fluid flow in pores and pore necks. In order to under-
stand the influence of such irregularities on flow rates, a non-
uniform capillary model was developed.

IV. Modeling Fluid Flow in Model Porous Media: 
Non-Uniform Capillary

Real flow path in a porous solid involves turtuosity and periodic 
changes in cross-sectional area. A sinusoidal capillary with 
converging–diverging sections is assumed to be representative of 
flow through pores and pore necks. An incompressible, laminar 
flow is assumed.\(^{6,16,17,19}\) A simple extension of Hagen–Poiseu-
ille’s law for steady-state flow is adopted for the present geometry. Equations describing the velocity of the meniscus as it rises 
in the capillary are derived. We further restrict the model to 
small heights (typically within 10% of the equilibrium height for 
the capillary) where the gravitational potential term can be ne-
glected. Although the flow is not steady state and varies with 
time, at any given instant of time, flow can be considered to be 
 quasi steady-state and thus Hagen–Poiseuille’s law is applicable. 
Figure 4 shows a sinusoidal capillary with a profile defined as

\[
r = r_o + A \sin \left( \frac{2\pi z}{\lambda} \right) 
\]  

(3)

where \(r_o\) = average radius, \(A\) = amplitude of variation, 
\(z\) = length along profile, and \(\lambda\) = wavelength of fluctuation.

The wavelength of variation, \(\lambda\), which represents the average 
length between a pore and pore neck, is typically found to be 
equal to the average particle size for random porous media con-
sidered (based on observations of optical and scanning electron 
micrographs of polished sections).

Let us consider the situation when the meniscus is moving 
through the expanding portion of the profile. This is represented 
in Fig. 4 (inset) along with the coordinate system of reference.

At any instant of time \(t\), fluid has risen up to a height \(h\), which 
is the instantaneous height. The total pressure drop between the 
meniscus and the far-field fluid level in the reservoir can be 
obtained by integrating Hagen–Poiseuille’s equation as

\[
\Delta P = \frac{8\eta \dot{Q}}{\pi} \int_{h_{\text{initial}}}^{h} \frac{dz}{r^2(z)} 
\]  

(4)

where \(\dot{Q}\) = instantaneous volume flow rate, \(h_{\text{initial}}\) = initial liquid 
height inside the profile to begin with to avoid singularity, 
\(h_{\text{inst}}\) = instantaneous height, and \(\eta\) = liquid viscosity.

The total effective pressure across the meniscus due to cap-
illarity can be expressed as

\[
\Delta P = \frac{2\gamma \cos \theta}{r(h)} 
\]  

(5)

where \(\gamma\) = liquid–vapor interfacial tension, \(\theta\) = contact angle 
(typically the static contact angle from a sessile drop experi-
ment), and \(r(h)\) = radius at height \(h\).

As the capillary profile is not parallel to the \(z\)-axis, the 
‘effective,’ contact angle for the meniscus leads to modification 
of Eq. (5) as

\[
\Delta P = \frac{2\gamma \cos \theta}{r(h)} \left( \cos \phi - \frac{2 \sin \phi}{1 + \sin(\theta + \phi)} \right) 
\]  

(5a)

where \(\tan \phi = \frac{dh}{dz}\) is the instantaneous slope of the 
sinusoidal profile. We notice that the condition, \(\Delta P = 0\), can 
be satisfied far below the equilibrium height if \(\cos \theta = \frac{2 \sin \phi}{1 + \sin(\theta + \phi)}\).

The instantaneous volumetric flow rate \(\dot{Q}\) in Eq. (4) can be 
written as

\[
\dot{Q} = \pi r^2(h) \frac{dh}{dt} 
\]  

(6)

Combining Eqs. (4), (5a) and (6), we have

\[
\frac{dh}{dt} = \frac{2\gamma}{r(h)} \left( \cos \theta - \frac{2 \sin \theta}{1 + \sin(\theta + \phi)} \right) \times \frac{1}{8\eta r^2(h) \int_{h_{\text{initial}}}^{h} \frac{dz}{r^2(z)}} 
\]  

(7)

This equation was solved numerically to obtain height–time 
plots.

Figure 5 shows the numerical output of capillary rise kinetics 
for a 1.4–10.8 \(\mu\)m sinusoidal capillary (representative of the 25– 
37 \(\mu\)m porous bed) for various \(\lambda\). The height–time profile is seen 
to have jumps alternating with relatively flatter segments. Such 
features in the rise profile are found to correspond to fluid rise in 
the narrow segments and wide segments of the capillary, respec-
tively. Diverging sections, where the meniscus takes a longer 
time to traverse, clearly control fluid flow rates.

The maximum Reynolds number (Re) for the 1.4–10.8 \(\mu\)m 
sinusoidal capillary (equivalent to the 25–37 \(\mu\)m) was calculated to be 0.04

\[
\frac{d}{2A} \rho \gamma r_{\text{cap}} \bar{v} \frac{d^4 r}{d \bar{v}^4} 
\]  

(8)

where \(d\) is the particle size) was calculated to be 0.04 \(\mu\)m. Surprisingly, 
for \(\lambda > 30 \mu\)m, the ‘effective’ hydrodynamic radius of 0.04 \(\mu\)m 
was seen to be \(\lambda\) independent. Particle tortuosity was neglected in 
the developed model. Incorporation of a tortuosity factor of 2 
into height, \(h\), would further reduce the calculated ‘effective’ ra-
dius by a factor of 4 (as \(r_{\text{cap}} \propto h^2\)), thereby leading to a value of 
0.01 \(\mu\)m. Considering 90% of the volume pore size distribution, 
we obtain an effective pore size distribution of 2.2–10.3 \(\mu\)m and 
an ‘effective’ radius of 0.17 \(\mu\)m (0.04 \(\mu\)m considering the tortu-
osity factor). These values are in good agreement with the 
experimentally obtained value of 0.06 ± 0.02 \(\mu\)m (for the 25–37 \(\mu\)m
that the ‘effective’ hydrodynamic radius of a non-uniform capillary is slowed down by an order of magnitude (incorporating a factor of 2 for the tortuosity parameter). This is still within an order of magnitude of the experimentally observed effective radius of 0.06 m, served effective radius of 0.06 m and the corresponding ‘effective’ radius that is two orders of magnitude smaller than the experimentally observed effective radius of 0.06 m, implying a good agreement between experiments and modeling.

The influence of the effective contact angle on the infiltration kinetics was examined for wavelengths between the critical wavelength, \( \lambda_{\text{critical}} \), and the \( \lambda \)-independent value. For \( \theta = 41^\circ \), the limiting wall slope for spontaneous rise is \( \varphi = 49^\circ \). This condition of meniscus arrest, i.e., \( \varphi = \pi/2 - \theta \), gives the equality, \( \cot \theta = \tan \varphi \) (from Eq. (5a)), and the critical \( \lambda \) as

\[
\lambda_{\text{critical}} = \frac{2\pi A}{\cot \theta}
\]

A critical \( \lambda \) of 12.8 \( \mu \)m is obtained for this case, below which the meniscus is arrested and is found to be determined by the amplitude of waviness, \( A \) (geometrical parameter), and wettability, \( \theta \) (fluid property). A similar expression has been derived for demarcating the limit between wettability and repellency in a non-uniform capillary. In the extreme case, for close-packed arrangements of spheres, the critical contact angle for spontaneous infiltration has been reported to be 51\(^\circ\), above which the meniscus is arrested for all orientations.

At values close to \( \lambda_{\text{critical}} \), infiltration was found to be further slowed down by an order of magnitude (\( r_{\text{eff}} = 0.004 \) \( \mu \)m) compared with the \( \lambda \)-independent value (\( \sim 0.04 \) \( \mu \)m). This implies that the ‘effective’ hydrodynamic radius of a non-uniform capillary is dependent on both the geometry (\( r_o \) and \( A \)) as well as the ‘effective’ local contact angle. Thus, the effect of local contact angle cannot be ignored in a particle packing, more so when the ‘effective’ contact angle (\( \theta + \varphi \)) is \( >80^\circ \).

In general, \( \lambda \) scales with the average particle size, but there is a statistical distribution of \( \lambda \) in the porous medium. The packing inhomogeneity in the porous bed leads to local regions wherein \( \lambda < \lambda_{\text{critical}} \), and such regions are not directly filled with the advancing meniscus but have to wait for the fluid to come around and fill these regions due to the 3D interconnectivity. In other regions of the packed bed, there is a positive driving force for capillary flow, with regions having \( \lambda \) close to \( \lambda_{\text{critical}} \) filling slower than regions with \( \lambda > \lambda_{\text{critical}} \). Fluid flow prefers the path of least resistance (i.e., preferential flow channels) within the porous medium and the volumetric flow rate is controlled by such channels. The macroscopic kinetics (as measured in the experiments) can thus be adequately represented by such non-uniform preferential flow paths, thereby leading to good agreement between the observed values and the modeled values. It might be noted that in the present case (25–37 \( \mu \)m bed), the experimentally observed \( \lambda \) (\( \approx 25 \) \( \mu \)m) > \( \lambda_{\text{critical}} \) where the flow becomes insensitive to wavelength (Fig. 5).

V. Origin of ‘Effective’ Dimension of Non-Uniform Capillary

Experimental values for 25–37 \( \mu \)m porous bed give us an ‘effective’ radius that is two orders of magnitude smaller than the smallest physical dimensions in the porous bed. Similar results were obtained from the modeled ‘effective’ radius for the 25–37 \( \mu \)m bed in the preceding section. It is imperative to interpret this extremely small ‘unphysical’ dimension (smaller than the smallest actual pore size in the bed), which dictates kinetics of capillary rise inside a porous bed. To explain the physics of the flow, we consider a simpler structural model of a stepped capillary (Fig. 6) with profile parameters \( r_{\text{min}} = \) smaller segment radius, \( r_{\text{max}} = \) large segment radius, and \( l = \) segment length, which is same for both segments.

Such a capillary has the essential feature of the porous medium, viz., periodic expansions and contractions, without the effect of local contact angle due to the vertical wall profile of the stepped capillary. This leads to a \( \lambda \)-independent infiltration kinetics for the stepped capillary and gives an opportunity to investigate the geometry-dependent (\( r_o, A \)) and \( \lambda \)-insensitive effect of the converging–diverging profile in influencing the capillary flow kinetics for the sinusoidal capillary (representative of the 25–37 \( \mu \)m particle size-packed bed). For even finer preforms
where the average wavelength may be below the limit for arrest, this analysis developed subsequently would still apply to locally inhomogeneous regions of longer wavelength that could allow some transport.

Also shown in Fig. 6 is an enlarged region of one such diverging segment. Marked in the figure is the effective driving force for fluid flow in the two segments as will be discussed subsequently. Flow velocity in the broader segment was derived assuming a laminar, quasi steady-state flow.

Equating the flow rates in both segments, we have

\[
Q_1 = Q_2 \tag{9}
\]

and expressing them using Hagen–Poiseuille’s formulation, we have

\[
\pi r_1^4 v = \pi r_2^4 \left( \frac{P}{h} \right) \tag{9a}
\]

(1) Wetting Situation (Pressureless)

Consider the wetting case with contact angle \( \theta < 90^\circ \). The driving pressure, \( P \), in the narrow segment can be expressed as

\[
P = \left( \frac{l}{hx^4 + l} \right) \left( \frac{2\gamma \cos \theta}{r_{\text{max}}} \right) \tag{10}
\]

where \( x = (r_{\text{min}}/r_{\text{max}}) \) is the radius ratio of the two segments and \( h \) = instantaneous height of meniscus in segment 2.

Substituting for \( P \) from above, after approximation and rearranging Eq. (9), we obtain

\[
v = \frac{\gamma \cos \theta}{4\pi h} \left( \frac{r_{\text{min}}^4}{r_{\text{max}}^4} \right) \tag{11}
\]

When this is compared with the flow velocity for a fluid moving under the action of a capillary driving force through a uniform radius ‘r’ (as per Eq. (1)), we have

\[
r_{\text{eff}} = \frac{r_{\text{min}}^4}{r_{\text{max}}^4} \tag{12}
\]

Such a representation correlates the geometrical parameters of a stepped capillary (representative of a porous medium) with an equivalent uniform capillary. The ‘effective’ uniform hydrodynamic capillary radius, \( r_{\text{eff}} \) (Eq. (12)), is significantly different from the mean average radius \( r_{\text{avg}} \) or ‘equivalent hydraulic’ radius \( r_{\text{avg}, V/S} \) of the stepped capillary as indicated in Table II. Also shown in Table II are the ‘effective radii’ derived from parabolic fits to the simulated plots for different stepped capillaries. The simulated plots were numerically generated using Eq. (7) previously derived for flow velocity. Trends in the simulated values (column 6, Table II) and values according to the Eq. (12) (column 5, Table II) are in good agreement.

Previously, a similar expression for the ‘effective’ radius in a non-uniform capillary under wetting conditions has been reported\(^{19}\) and good agreement has been found for radii ratio \( (r_{\text{max}}/r_{\text{min}}) \) up to 10:1. Although such an expression gives values matching the experimental results, they are physically unsound as the area ratio term is neglected. The physical interpretation of the expression in Eq. (12) is presented below

\[
\frac{r_{\text{min}}^4}{r_{\text{max}}^4} = \left( \frac{1}{r_{\text{max}}^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{r_{\text{min}}^2} \right) \tag{13}
\]

\[
= \text{(ratio of capillary driving force to viscous retarding force)} \times \text{(ratio of areas)}
\]

Thus, the expression used in the present study is more meaningful, physically.
(2) Non-Wetting Situation (Pressure-Assisted Infiltration)

In a non-wetting situation, liquid has to be forced with external pressure (Fig. 6) as in squeeze casting. Similar to the pressureless situation, it can be shown that the meniscus velocity in the broader rate-controlling segment is

\[ v = \frac{P_{\text{app}} r^4}{8\eta h} \left( \frac{r_{\text{min}}}{r_{\text{max}}^2} \right) \]  

(14)

Compared with the uniform capillary flow (Eq. (1)), we derive the ‘effective’ capillary radius to be

\[ r_{\text{eff}} = \frac{r_{\text{min}}^2}{r_{\text{max}}} \]  

(15)

Of course, this equality is strictly valid when the applied pressure \( P_{\text{app}} \) is much larger than the threshold pressure \( P_{\text{th}} \) for infiltration. The difference between the two situations \( r_{\text{eff}} \) from Eq. (12) vs. Eq. (15) derives from the fact that the driving pressure in the broader segment is independent of the segment size for pressure infiltration.

VI. Conclusions

In this work, a non-uniform capillary model has been developed to explain the capillary rise kinetics inside porous Al_2O_3 compacts from the standpoint of a purely physical process. The effective hydrodynamic radius of the porous medium \( r_{\text{eff}} \) has been derived and correlated both to the geometry of the porous medium, viz., minimum pore size and maximum pore size as well as ‘effective’ local contact angle. The ‘effective’ radius was found to be one to two orders of magnitude smaller than the average physical dimension derived from pore size distribution. This leads to anomalously slow capillary rise rates. Such an ‘unphysical’ length scale was rationalized based on an interplay between capillary driving force and viscous retarding force, coupled with the inherent converging-diverging geometry of the porous medium, where additional retardation effects associated with a large ‘effective’ local contact angle can be neglected.
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