Ternary copper(II) complexes \([Cu(L\text{-met})B(Solv)](ClO_4)\) (1–4), where B is a N,N-donor heterocyclic base like 2,2′-bipyridine (bpy, 1), 1,10-phenanthroline (phen, 2), dipyrido[3,2-\(d\):2′,3′-f]quinoxaline (dpq, 3) and dipyrido[3,2-\(a\):2′,3′-c]phenazene (dppz, 4), are prepared and their DNA binding and photo-induced DNA cleavage activity studied (L-Hmet = L-methionine). Complex 2, structurally characterized by X-ray crystallography, shows a square pyramidal (4 + 1) coordination geometry in which the N,O-donor \(L\)-methionine and N,N-donor heterocyclic base bind at the basal plane and a solvent molecule is coordinated at the axial site. The complexes display a d–d band at \(\approx 600\) nm in DMF and exhibit a cyclic voltammetric response due to the Cu(II)/Cu(I) couple near −0.1 V in DMF–Tris-HCl buffer. The complexes display significant binding propensity to the calf thymus DNA in the order: 4 (dppz) > 3 (dpq) > 2 (phen) > 1 (bpy). Control cleavage experiments using pUC19 supercoiled DNA and distamycin suggest major groove binding for the dppz and minor groove binding for the other complexes. Complexes 2–4 show efficient DNA cleavage activity on UV (365 nm) or red light (632.8 nm) irradiation via a mechanistic pathway involving formation of singlet oxygen as the reactive species. The DNA cleavage activity of the dpq complex 3 is found to be significantly more than its dppz and phen analogues.

**Introduction**

Compounds having the ability to bind and cleave double stranded DNA under physiological conditions are of importance for their utility as diagnostic agents in medicinal applications and for genomic research.\(^1\)\textsuperscript{10} The DNA cleavage reactions generally proceed via oxidative or hydrolytic cleavage pathways. The hydrolytic pathway involves phosphodiester bond hydrolysis leading to the formation of fragments that could be religated through enzymatic processes. The oxidative process results in the nucleobase oxidation and/or degradation of sugar by abstraction of sugar hydrogen atom(s). Among different methodologies adopted for oxidative cleavage of DNA, the one based on irradiation with visible light of long wavelength has gained importance for their potential use in photodynamic therapy (PDT) of cancer.\(^11\)\textsuperscript{14} PDT is a non-invasive treatment of tumor by the combined use of red light and a photosensitizing drug which on photo-excitation transfers its excited state energy to molecular oxygen in
a type-II process forming singlet oxygen that causes oxidative cellular damage. The porphyrinic species Photofrin® is currently used as an anticancer PDT drug which is active on 630 nm photo-irradiation.\textsuperscript{15} We are involved in the development of the chemistry of non-porphyrinic low molecular weight copper-based complexes as new photoactive DNA cleaving agents.\textsuperscript{16–20}

The present work stems from our interest to design ternary copper(II) complexes containing bio-essential α-amino acid L-methionine as a photosensitizer and N,N-donor heterocyclic bases as DNA groove binders. Amino acid and peptide-based transition metal complexes without having any photoactive group are used as synthetic hydrolases and chemical nucleases.\textsuperscript{21–26} Amino acids and peptides tethered with photoactive organic molecules are known to cleave DNA on photoirradiation at UV light.\textsuperscript{27,28} Mahon and coworkers have reported the photosensitized DNA cleavage activity of fluorescent DNA intercalator thiazole orange conjugated to synthetic dipeptides through a quinoline nitrogen linker.\textsuperscript{27} These compounds show DNA cleavage by a type-II process on irradiation at 365 nm which does not fall in the PDT window of 600–800 nm (red light). A similar synthetic design has been reported by Saito \textit{et al.} and their L-lysine derivatives possessing a 1,8-naphthalimide chromophore are shown to be photoactive DNA-cleaving agents at UV light of wavelength 320–380 nm.\textsuperscript{28} These organic molecules of the type “A–B” having amino acid moiety (A) covalently linked to the photoactive DNA intercalators (B) are effective only on UV light exposure and are thus not suitable for PDT applications. We have designed new ternary copper(II) complexes of the type “A–CuL–B” in which the amino acid (A) and the DNA binder (B) are linked through a copper(II) center with the aim to involve the metal based d–d and/or charge transfer band(s) in the photoexcitation process. In doing so, we have been successful in observing a dramatic shift of the photoactive wavelength to the PDT window on complexation of the α-amino acid L-methionine and planar phenanthroline bases to the d\textsuperscript{9}-copper(II) ion.

Our choice of dipyridoquinoxaline and dipyridophenazine ligands as DNA binder/photosensitizer is based on the fact that DNA intercalator quinoxalines which are similar to those present in the antitumor antibiotics echinomycin or tristostin are known to cleave DNA at 365 nm with the photo-excited 3(\(\pi–\pi^*\)) and/or 3(\(\pi–\pi^*\)) state(s) causing DNA cleavage in an oxidative manner.\textsuperscript{29} In addition, L-methionine with a thiomethyl group is expected to be a photosensitizer as compounds containing thio- or thione moieties are known to show efficient intersystem crossing leading to the formation of reactive singlet oxygen.\textsuperscript{30,31} The copper ion in the ternary structure is found to play a significant role in effecting the photocleavage of DNA on red light irradiation. Herein we report the synthesis, structure and photo-induced DNA cleavage activity of a series of L-methionine (L-Hmet) copper(II) complexes of formulation [Cu(L-met)B(Solv)](ClO\textsubscript{4}) (1–4), where B is a N,N-donor heterocyclic base like 2,2′-bipyridine (bpy, 1), 1,10-phenanthroline (phen, 2), dipyrido[3,2-\(d\):2′,3′-\(f\)]quinoxaline (dpq, 3) and dipyrido[3,2-\(a\):2′,3′-\(c\)]phenazene (dppz, 4), and Solv is H\textsubscript{2}O for 1, 3, 4 and MeOH for 2 (Scheme 1). Significant results of this study are the groove binding differences of the complexes and efficient red light induced DNA cleavage activity of the complexes 3 and 4 at 632.8 nm which is close to the photoactivation wavelength of Photofrin®. A preliminary account of 2 has been reported.\textsuperscript{32}
Results and discussion

Synthesis and general aspects

Our major objective in the synthesis of four ternary copper(II) complexes is to explore the role of the metal in the photo-induced DNA cleavage reactions in the presence of an amino acid and a DNA binder. We have chosen L-methionine as the amino acid with a photoactive thiomethyl group. Our choice for planar phenanthroline bases is based on their good binding ability to DNA as well as for their photosensitizing abilities. The ternary complexes are synthesized in good yield by reacting the in situ generated sodium salt of L-methionine with CuSO₄·5H₂O and the heterocyclic base. They are isolated as perchlorate salts of formula [Cu(L-met)B(Solv)](ClO₄)₄ (1–4), where B is the N,N-donor heterocyclic base (bpy, 1; phen, 2; dpq, 3; and dppz, 4) and Solv is a solvent molecule (H₂O for 1, 3, 4 and MeOH for 2) (Scheme 1). We have also prepared a known ternary copper(II) complex [Cu(L-phe)(phen)(H₂O)](ClO₄)₃ for control DNA cleavage experiments to compare the photosensitizing abilities of the amino acids, viz., L-phenylalanine (L-phe) and L-methionine. The complexes are characterized from analytical and physicochemical data (Table 1). The one-electron paramagnetic complexes show a broad d–d band near 600 nm in DMF (Fig. 1). The complexes display a quasireversible cyclic voltammetric response which can be assigned to the Cu(II)/Cu(I) couple near –0.1 V in DMF–Tris buffer (1 : 4 v/v; pH 7.2) (Fig. 1). The high ΔEᵰ value suggests poor reversibility of the electron transfer process. Complexes 3 and 4 are found to be susceptible to conversion to the binary species [CuB₂]²⁺ in solution when kept for a longer period of time during crystallization at 30 °C or above but show significant stability at low temperatures (ca. 4 °C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IR: v(ClO₄⁻)/cm⁻¹</td>
<td>1092</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>1084</td>
<td>1110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d–d band: λ_max/nm</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ε/dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹)²</td>
<td>(90)</td>
<td>(150)</td>
<td>(160)</td>
<td>(180)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic voltammetry:</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Crystal structures

Complex 2 has been characterized from a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. The crystal structure of the bpy species 1 is reported by Le et al.38 The crystal structure of the complexes consist of a monomeric species with the metal ion in a square-pyramidal (4 + 1) coordination geometry with a CuN₃O₂ core (Fig. 2). The donor atoms in the basal plane are two nitrogen atoms of the heterocyclic base (B) and the N,O atoms of L-methionine. The axial site has a coordinated solvent molecule. Complex 2 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P₂₁ with two molecules in the crystallographic asymmetric unit. The structural features of 1 and 2 are essentially the same except that 2 has less distortion from the square pyramidal geometry [τ = 0.08].39,40 Both chiral carbon centers in 2 have S-configuration. The av. values of the Cu–O(basal), Cu–O(axial) and Cu–N distances are 1.920[6], 2.294[6] and 1.997[7] Å, respectively. The N–Cu–N and O–Cu–N angles involving phen and L-met are 82.3[3] and 85.0[3]°, respectively. The thiomethyl group in these discrete molecular species does not show any bonding with the metal ion. This group in a metal unbound form could alter the photosensitizing ability of the ligand in comparison to its analogue 2-(thiomethyl)ethylsalicylamidine (HL) Schiff base in [CuL(phen)](ClO₄), where L having a copper-bound thiomethyl group shows efficient red light-induced DNA cleavage activity.16

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{1/2}/N (\Delta E_d/mV) & \quad (430) \quad (160) \quad (510) \quad (460) \\
\Delta A_M/\Omega^{-1} \quad \text{cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1} & \quad 71 \quad 68 \quad 65 \quad 62 \\
\mu_{\text{eff}}/\mu_B & \quad 1.78 \quad 1.82 \quad 1.78 \quad 1.86 \\
\end{align*}
\]

\text{a} KBr phase. \text{ b} In DMF. \text{ c} Cu(II)/Cu(I) couple in DMF–Tris buffer (1:4 v/v). \text{ E}_{1/2} = 0.5(E_{pa} + E_{pc}), \Delta E_p = E_{pa} - E_{pc}, \text{ where } E_{pa} \text{ and } E_{pc} \text{ are the anodic and cathodic peak potentials, respectively.} \text{ d} In DMF. \text{ e} \mu_{\text{eff}} \text{ for solid at } 298 \text{ K.}

![Fig. 1](a) UV-visible spectrum of 3 in DMF. (b) Cyclic voltammogram of 2 in DMF–Tris buffer at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹.
DNA binding studies

The binding of the complexes to the calf thymus (CT) DNA has been studied by electronic absorption spectral technique. Binding of a complex to DNA through intercalation usually results in hypochromism and red shift (bathochromic shift) due to the intercalative mode involving a strong stacking interaction between the planar aromatic chromophore and the base pairs of DNA. The extent of the hypochromism in the charge transfer band is commonly consistent with the strength of intercalative binding/interaction. The absorption spectral traces of the dppz complex with increasing concentration of CT DNA are shown in Fig. 3a. We have observed a minor bathochromic shift of 1–3 nm along with significant hypochromicity. When the amount of CT DNA is increased, a decrease of ~70% in the intensity of the charge transfer band is observed. In order to compare the binding strength of the complexes, their intrinsic binding constants ($K_b$) with CT-DNA have been determined from the decay of the spectral band absorbance using eqn. (1), where $\varepsilon_a$, $\varepsilon_b$ and $\varepsilon_f$ are apparent absorption coefficient, $\varepsilon$ of the copper(II) complex in its free form and $\varepsilon$ of the complex in the fully DNA-bound form, respectively (Fig. 3b). The $K_b$ values are obtained from the [DNA]/($\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_f$) vs. [DNA] plots.

$$\frac{[\text{DNA}]}{(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_f)} = \frac{[\text{DNA}]}{(\varepsilon_b - \varepsilon_f)} + \frac{1}{K_b(\varepsilon_b - \varepsilon_f)}$$

Fig. 3 (a) Absorption spectral changes on addition
of CT DNA to the solution of [Cu(L-met)(dppz) (H₂O)](ClO₄)(4)(shown by arrow). (b) Plots of [DNA]/(Δε₇₄5 vs. [DNA](i) and Δε₇₄5/Δε₅₄7 vs. [DNA] (ii), where Δε₇₄5 = (ε₅ - ε₇) and Δε₅₄7 = (ε₅ - ε₇).

The intrinsic binding constant (Kᵦ) values are 2.4 × 10³, 3.5 × 10³ and 8.4 × 10³ M⁻¹ for 2–4, respectively. Complex 4 having dppz ligand with its extended fused aromatic rings shows significantly high binding propensity to DNA. The bpy complex 1, in contrast, does not show any apparent binding to CT DNA. Earlier studies on the bis-phen copper complex have shown that this complex binds to DNA either by partial intercalation or binding of one phen ligand to the minor groove while the other phen makes favourable contacts within the groove. The nature of binding of the heterocyclic bases in 2–4 is proposed to be similar as observed in the bis-phen species.

The binding of the complexes to the CT DNA has also been studied by fluorescence spectral method using the emission intensity of ethidium bromide (EB) as a probe. EB in a buffer medium shows reduced emission intensity due to quenching by the solvent molecules. It, however, shows significantly enhanced emission intensity when bound to DNA. Binding of the complex to DNA either displaces EB thus decreasing its emission intensity or quenching could take place due to the copper(II) complex in a DNA bound form. We have measured the reduction of the emission intensity of EB at different complex concentration (Fig. S1, see ESI†). The apparent binding constant (Kᵦ) has been calculated from the equation: Kᵦ[EB] = Kᵦ[complex], where Kᵦ is 1.0 × 10¹ M⁻¹ and the concentration of EB is 1.3 µM. The concentration of the complex is taken for observing 50% reduction of the emission intensity of EB. The Kᵦ values for the complexes are: 1.8 × 10⁴, 4.0 × 10⁴ and 6.5 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ for 2–4, respectively.

### Chemical nuclease activity

The oxidative cleavage of DNA in the presence of a reducing agent mercaptopropionic acid (MPA, 5 mM) has been studied by gel electrophoresis using supercoiled (SC) pUC19 DNA (0.5 µg) in 50 mM Tris·HCl/50 mM NaCl buffer (14 µL, pH 7.2) treated with the complex (100 µM in 2 µL DMF) (Fig. S2, see ESI†). The chemical nuclease activity follows the order: 3 > 4 ≈ 2 > 1. Control experiments using MPA or 2 alone do not show any apparent cleavage of DNA. The poor DNA binder bpy complex 1 is cleavage inactive. To understand the DNA cleavage by 2–4, control experiments are performed in the presence of minor groove binder distamycin. While distamycin does not show any inhibition of cleavage for the dppz complex, it inhibits the cleavage for 2 and 3. The results suggest major groove binding for the dppz complex and minor groove binding for the other complexes. The observed lower cleavage by the dppz complex 4 with a higher Kᵦ than 3 could be related to their different groove binding preferences. Control experiments show that hydroxyl radical scavenger DMSO inhibits the cleavage. The singlet oxygen quencher sodium azide does not show any inhibition.

The pathways involved in the DNA cleavage are believed to be similar to those proposed by Sigman and coworkers for the chemical nuclease activity of bis(phen)copper species (Scheme 2). The cleavage efficiencies of the dpq and dppz complexes are likely to differ as the deoxyribose protons abstracted in the cleavage process are different for the DNA major and minor groove. Again, binding of the complex to the DNA is an important aspect for observing chemical nuclease activity. Ternary species [Cu(L-phe)(phen)(H₂O)](ClO₄) having DNA-binder phen shows similar cleavage activity as observed for 2 (Table 2). The thiomethyl group of L-met thus does not play any major role in the chemical nuclease activity. This ligand with a photoactive thiomethyl group is likely to show significant photonuclease activity in comparison to L-phe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical nuclease activity data of 1–4 using 100 µM complex and 0.5 µg supercoiled pUC19 DNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

DNA photocleavage activity

The photo-induced DNA (SC pUC19, 0.5 µg) cleavage experiments were performed in UV (365 nm, 12 W) and visible (632.8 nm, 3 mW He–Ne CW laser) light using 25–100 µM of the complexes in the absence of any external additive such as MPA. Selected cleavage data are given in Tables 3 and 4 and the gel electrophoresis diagrams are shown in Figs. S3 and S4 (see ESI). Complex 1 does not show any photonuclease activity at 365 nm. The dpq complex 3 displays ~77% conversion of the SC (Form I) to its nicked circular form (NC, Form II) of DNA after 5 min exposure. The phen and dppz complexes also show significant cleavage of SC DNA under similar experimental conditions. Control experiments using 3 under dark conditions or L-met alone at 365 nm do not show any apparent cleavage of SC DNA. Again, the heterocyclic bases dpq or dppz (50 µM) alone are cleavage inactive at 365 nm for an exposure time of 30 min (Table 3). We have observed complete cleavage of SC DNA by 3 on 30 min exposure time with a complex concentration of 25 µM. The photosensitizing ability of L-met in metal bound form is evidenced from the efficient cleavage of the phen complex 2, while its L-phenylalanine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Reaction conditions</th>
<th>Form-I (%)</th>
<th>Form-II (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DNA control</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DNA + 2</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DNA + 1 + MPA</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DNA + 2 + MPA</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DNA + 3 + MPA</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DNA + 4 + MPA</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DNA + distamycin^b + 3 + MPA</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DNA + distamycin^b + 4 + MPA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>DNA + DMSO^c + 3 + MPA</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>DNA + NaN^d + 3 + MPA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>DNA + [Cu(L-phe)(phen)(H_2O)] (ClO_4)^e + MPA</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>DNA + MPA</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>DNA + L-Methionine^e</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Serial numbers 1–10 correspond to the respective lane number given in gel electrophoresis diagram shown in Fig. S2 (see ESI). Form-I and Form-II are supercoiled and nicked circular forms of DNA, respectively. The concentration of MPA is 5 mM.  
^b 75 µM.  
^c 4 µL.  
^d 90 µM.  
^e 100 µM.

**Scheme 2** Proposed mechanistic pathways for the chemical nuclease (a) and photo-induced DNA cleavage (b) reactions involving complexes 1–4 in Tris-buffer medium.

**DNA photocleavage activity**

The photo-induced DNA (SC pUC19, 0.5 µg) cleavage experiments were performed in UV (365 nm, 12 W) and visible (632.8 nm, 3 mW He–Ne CW laser) light using 25–100 µM of the complexes in the absence of any external additive such as MPA. Selected cleavage data are given in Tables 3 and 4 and the gel electrophoresis diagrams are shown in Figs. S3 and S4 (see ESI). Complex 1 does not show any photonuclease activity at 365 nm. The dpq complex 3 displays ~77% conversion of the SC (Form I) to its nicked circular form (NC, Form II) of DNA after 5 min exposure. The phen and dppz complexes also show significant cleavage of SC DNA under similar experimental conditions. Control experiments using 3 under dark conditions or L-met alone at 365 nm do not show any apparent cleavage of SC DNA. Again, the heterocyclic bases dpq or dppz (50 µM) alone are cleavage inactive at 365 nm for an exposure time of 30 min (Table 3). We have observed complete cleavage of SC DNA by 3 on 30 min exposure time with a complex concentration of 25 µM. The photosensitizing ability of L-met in metal bound form is evidenced from the efficient cleavage of the phen complex 2, while its L-phenylalanine
analogue [Cu(L-phe)(phen)(H$_2$O)]$^+$ is cleavage inactive. We have observed from this study that the presence of a photoactive moiety and a DNA binder are a necessary requirement for observing efficient photo-induced DNA cleavage activity. This is evidenced from the facts that while the bpy complex 1 is cleavage inactive, complexes 2–4 are cleavage active. Besides, the amino acid (A) or heterocyclic base (B) is individually cleavage inactive, but their ternary copper(II) complex “A–Cu$^{II}$–B” shows efficient photo-induced DNA cleavage activity. The involvement of the metal center is thus apparent in the metal-assisted DNA cleavage reaction which is likely to involve the ligand-to-metal charge transfer band(s) resulting in photosensitization to the excited singlet state which subsequently via the triplet state activates oxygen to generate reactive singlet oxygen in a type-II process.

### Table 3
Photoinduced DNA (SC pUC19, 0.5 µg) cleavage data$^a$ of the complexes 1–4 using UV radiation of 365 nm (12 W) using a complex concentration of 50 µM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Reaction conditions</th>
<th>t$^b$</th>
<th>Form-I (%)</th>
<th>Form-II (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DNA control</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DNA + 3 in dark</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DNA + dpq (50 µM)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DNA + L-methionine (50 µM)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DNA + 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DNA + 2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DNA + 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DNA + 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>DNA + NaN$_3$ + 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>DNA + D$_2$O$^e$ + 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>DNA + DMSO$^e$ + 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>DNA + 3 (25 µM)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>DNA + <a href="ClO$_4$">Cu(L-phe)(phen)(H$_2$O)</a>$^e$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>DNA + dpq (50 µM)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>DNA + dpz (50 µM)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Sl. Nos. 1–11 correspond to the respective lane no. given in Fig. S3 (see ESI).

$^b$ t, exposure time in min.

$^c$ 90 µM.

$^d$ 14 µL.

$^e$ 4 µL. 100 µM.

### Table 4
Red light-induced DNA (SC pUC19, 0.5 µg) cleavage data$^e$ of the complexes 2–4 at 632.8 nm (3mW) for an exposure time of 1 h using a complex concentration of 100 µM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Reaction conditions</th>
<th>Form-I (%)</th>
<th>Form-II (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DNA Control</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DNA + dpq (100 µM)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DNA + 3 in dark</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DNA + 2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The complexes are found to be photo-cleavage inactive in the presence of singlet oxygen quencher sodium azide. Significant enhancement of cleavage is observed in D$_2$O solvent in which singlet oxygen has a longer lifetime. Hydroxyl radical scavenger DMSO does not show any inhibitory effect. Control experiment data indicate the formation of singlet oxygen on photoexposure at 365 nm. In complex 2, the L-met acts as a photosensitizer, while phen is the DNA minor groove binder. For the dpq and dppz complexes, the DNA binder heterocyclic bases have photoactive quinoxaline and phenazine moieties, thus giving additional metal-assisted photosensitization effect enhancing the cleavage activity. Our observation of higher cleavage activity of 3 than 4 could be due to their different groove binding preference and for the reduced triplet state lifetime of the phenazine moiety in the presence of fused aromatic rings.

We have explored the photocleavage activity of the complexes 2–4 at red light of 632.8 nm from a low power CW He–Ne laser source using a complex concentration of 100 µM with a longer exposure time (Table 4, Fig. S4, see ESI). This wavelength happens to be close to the one used for photoactivation of Photofrin® and the d–d band observed for these ternary complexes. The phen complex shows ~30% cleavage of SC DNA to its NC form. Under similar reaction conditions, the dpq complex displays essentially complete cleavage of SC DNA while its dppz analogue shows ~70% cleavage activity (Fig. 4). The results are of importance as the ligands alone are cleavage inactive at this wavelength. Addition of sodium azide is found to inhibit the cleavage, while DMSO shows no apparent inhibition. The complexes are cleavage inactive under an argon atmosphere indicating the involvement of oxygen in the cleavage reaction (Table 4). This is indicative of a type-II process which is similar to that observed at 365 nm forming singlet oxygen (O$_2$, 1$\Delta_g$) as the reactive species (Scheme 2). We have earlier reported the red light-induced DNA cleavage activity of a ternary mono-phen copper(II) complex containing a Schiff base with a metal-bound thiomethyl group involving the formation of singlet oxygen species. In contrast, the binary copper(II) complex [Cu(dpq)$_2$]$_{2+}$ shows DNA cleavage at red light via a photo-redox pathway involving hydroxyl radicals. The presence of the thiomethyl group in L-met could be responsible for the type-II process to be operative in preference to the photo-redox pathway. Further studies are in progress to determine the role of the pendant –SMe moiety in the overall photosensitization process in conjunction with the heterocyclic base.

| Sl. No. | Reaction Details | Cleavage Activity (%)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DNA + 3</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DNA + 4</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DNA + NaN$_3$ + 3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DNA + DMSO$^c$ + 3</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>DNA + dppz$^d$</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>DNA + [Cu(L-phe)(phen)(H$_2$O)]$^d$ (ClO$_4$)$_d$</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>DNA + 3 (under argon)</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>DNA + 3 (in D$_2$O, 14 µL)</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Sl. Nos. 1–8 correspond to the respective lane no. given in Fig. S4 (see ESI).
$^b$ 90 µM.
$^c$ 4 µL.
$^d$ 100 µM.
Conclusion

Four L-methionine copper(II) complexes having N,N-donor heterocyclic bases (B) are prepared and structurally characterized. In the [L–met–Cu(II)–B]+ ternary structure, the bidentate amino acid with a thiomethyl pendant group acts as a photosensitizer and the planar heterocyclic bases like phen, dpq and dppz are DNA groove binders. The dpq and dppz ligands also show photosensitization ability when bound to the copper(II) ion. The bpy complex does not show any apparent binding to DNA and is cleavage inactive. The other three complexes show efficient chemical and photonuclease activity. While the dppz complex is a major groove binder, its analogues show minor groove binding preference. The chemical nuclease activity in the presence of a reducing agent involves formation of the hydroxyl radical or reactive copper-oxo species. The photonuclease activity at 365 nm or red light of 632.8 nm wavelength involves a type-II process with the formation of reactive singlet oxygen. The cleavage efficiency of the dpq complex is significantly higher than its dppz analogue. This work provides evidence for the involvement of d9–Cu(II) in the photosensitization process as the UV photoactive ligands alone are cleavage inactive at the wavelengths used in our study. The results are of significance in designing new transition metal-based non-porphyrinic amino acid/peptide complexes suitable for DNA cleavage in the PDT window of 600–800 nm and for cellular applications.

Experimental

Materials

All reagents and chemicals were procured from commercial sources and used without further purification. The supercoiled (SC) pUC19 DNA (caesium chloride purified) was purchased from Bangalore Genie (India). The calf thymus (CT) DNA, agarose (molecular biology grade), distamycin and ethidium bromide (EB) were obtained from Sigma. Tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane-HCl (Tris-HCl) buffer was prepared using deionized and sonicated triple distilled water. Solvents used for electrochemical and spectroscopic studies were purified and dried by standard procedures before use. Dipyrido-[3,2-d:2′,3′-f]-quinoxaline (dpq) and dipyrido[3,2-a:2′,3′-c]phenazene (dppz) were prepared by literature procedures. Complex [Cu(L-phe)(phen)(H2O)](ClO4) was prepared by a literature method.
Physical measurements

The elemental analysis was performed using a Thermo Finnigan FLASH EA 1112 CHNS analyzer. The infrared, electronic and fluorescence spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer Lambda 35, Perkin Elmer spectrum one 55 and Perkin-Elmer LS 50B spectrophotometers, respectively. Room-temperature magnetic susceptibility data at 298 K for polycrystalline samples were obtained using a Model 300 Lewis-coil-force magnetometer from George Associates Inc. (Berkeley, USA). Hg[Co(NCS)] was used as a calibrant. Experimental susceptibility data were corrected for diamagnetic contributions. Molar conductivity measurements were performed using a Control Dynamics (India) conductivity meter.

Cyclic voltammetric measurements were made at 25 °C on an EG&G PAR model 253 VersaStat potentiostat/galvanostat with electrochemical analysis software 270 using a three electrode set-up comprising a glassy carbon working, platinum wire auxiliary and a saturated calomel reference electrode (SCE) in DMF–Tris buffer medium.

Synthesis of [Cu(L-met)B(Solv)](ClO)(1–4)

The complexes were prepared by a general synthetic method in which a mixture of L-methionine (0.75 g, 5 mmol) and NaOH (0.2 g, 5 mmol) in 10 cm$^3$ water was added to an aqueous solution (25 cm$^3$) of CuSO$_4$·5H$_2$O (1.25 g, 5 mmol) with stirring for 30 min followed by addition of the corresponding heterocyclic base [bpy, 1; phen, 2; dpq, 3; dppz, 4 (5 mmol)] taken in 10 cm$^3$ of methanol. The solution was stirred for 2 h with heating at 60 °C. On completion of the reaction, an aqueous solution of NaClO (0.6 g, 5 mmol) was added to the filtrate of the reaction mixture. Slow evaporation of the solvent at room temperature yielded a crystalline solid of the product (dark blue for 1, 2; greenish colour for 3, 4).

Yield: ~70%. Anal. Calcd. for C$_{15}$H$_{20}$N$_5$ClO$_7$SCu (1): C, 37.1; H, 4.2; N, 8.7%. Found: C, 36.8; H, 4.1; N, 8.3%. IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3424br, 3335w, 3316w, 3255w, 3085w, 2967w, 2913w, 1602m, 1569s, 1428m, 1387m, 1342w, 1315w, 1088vs, 622s, 614s, 564s. UV-visible [(λ$_{max}$ nm (ε, dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$)] in DMF: 594 (90); 301(2550); 312 (11900). Anal. Calcd. for C$_{18}$H$_{22}$N$_3$ClO$_5$SCu (2): C, 41.3; H, 4.2; N, 8.0%. Found: C, 41.1; H, 4.0; N, 8.1%. IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3436br, 3327m, 3284m, 3241w, 3161w, 3058w, 2935w, 2909w, 2838w, 1636s, 1519m, 1428m, 1387m, 1342w, 1315w, 1088vs, 622s, 614s, 564s. UV-visible [(λ$_{max}$ nm (ε, dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$)] in DMF: 609 (150); 275 (32740); 294(sh) (16800). Anal. Calcd. for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_5$ClO$_7$SCu (3): C, 39.8; H, 3.5; N, 12.2%. Found: C, 40.1; H, 3.4; N, 11.9%. IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3437br, 3307w, 3084w, 2918m, 1646m, 1609s, 1485m, 1412w, 1391m, 1341w, 1307w, 1277m, 1213s, 1084vs, 821s, 732s, 624s, 553m, 437m. UV-visible [(λ$_{max}$ nm (ε, dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$)] in DMF: 617 (160); 338 (6330). Anal. Calcd. for C$_{23}$H$_{22}$N$_5$ClO$_7$SCu (4): C, 45.2; H, 3.6; N, 11.4%. Found: C, 45.3; H, 3.9; N, 11.5%. IR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3405br, 3298w, 3240w, 3077w, 2368w, 1619s, 1569m, 1500m, 1422m, 1402m, 1359m, 1110vs, 817m, 766m, 732m, 619s, 577s, 422m. UV-visible [(λ$_{max}$ nm (ε, dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$)] in DMF: 627 (180); 420sh (5500); 380 (9900); 300 (10600).

Caution! Perchlorate salts of metal complexes containing organic ligands are potentially explosive and only small quantities were handled with care.

Solubility and stability. The complexes were found to be soluble in DMF, DMSO, moderately soluble in alcoholic medium and sparingly soluble in water.

X-Ray crystallographic procedures

Crystal data for 2: C$_{18}$H$_{22}$ClCuN$_5$O$_7$S, $M = 523.44$, monoclinic $P2_1$ (no. 4), $a = 9.246(4)$, $b = 20.531(9)$, $c = 11.864(5)$ Å, $β = 96.985(8)^{\circ}$, $U = 2235.4(17)$ Å$^3$, $Z = 4$, $D_c = 1.555$ g cm$^{-3}$, $T = 293(2)$ K, $λ =$

http://pubs.rsc.org/en/Content/ArticleLanding/2005/ce/b416711b
0.71073 Å, 1.73 ≤ θ ≤ 26.07°, μ = 12.34 cm⁻¹, F(000) = 1076.

Single crystals of [Cu(L-met)(phen)(MeOH)][ClO₄] (2) were obtained from an aqueous methanolic solution of the complex. Crystal mounting was done on glass fibre with epoxy cement. All geometric and intensity data were collected at room temperature using an automated Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer equipped with a fine focus 1.75 kW sealed tube Mo-Kα X-ray source (λ = 0.71073 Å) with increasing ω (width of 0.3° per frame) at a scan speed of 12 s per frame. Intensity data were corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects and for absorption. The structure was solved and refined with the SHELX system of programs. The hydrogen atoms attached to the carbons were fixed in their calculated positions and refined using a riding model. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The full-matrix least squares refinement converged to \( R_1 = 0.0534, \omega R_2 = 0.1329 \) for 5323 reflections with \( I > 2\sigma(I) \) and 567 parameters \( [R_1 \text{ (all data)} = 0.0872], \) weighting scheme: \( w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0894P)^2 + 0.0P] \) where \( P = [F_o^2 + 2F_c^2]/3. \) The goodness-of-fit and the largest difference peak were 0.947 and 0.633 e Å⁻³, respectively. Perspective view of the complex was obtained by ORTEP (See ESIF, Fig. S5).

CCDC reference numbers 207304 and 254360.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b4/b416711b/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

DNA binding and cleavage experiments

The DNA binding experiments were carried out in Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2) using the complex solution in DMF. A solution of calf thymus (CT) DNA (ca. 350 µM NP) in the buffer gave a ratio of UV absorbance at 260 and 280 nm of ca. 1.9 : 1 indicating that the DNA was sufficiently free from protein. The concentration of DNA was determined from its absorption intensity at 260 nm with a known molar absorption coefficient value of 6600 dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹. Absorption titration experiments were performed by varying the concentration of the CT DNA with the metal complex concentration of 40 µM. Due correction had been made to eliminate the absorbance of DNA itself. All UV-spectra were recorded after equilibration. The intrinsic binding constant \( K_b \) was determined from a plot of [DNA]/(\( ε_a - ε_o \)) vs. [DNA] (eqn. (1)). The apparent binding constant \( (K_{app}) \) of the complexes were determined by a fluorescence spectral technique using ethidium bromide (EB) bound CT DNA solution in Tris-HCl/NaCl buffer (pH, 7.2). The fluorescence intensities at 600 nm (546 nm excitation) of EB with an increasing amount of the ternary complex concentration were recorded. Ethidium bromide was non-emissive in Tris-buffer medium due to fluorescence quenching of the free EB by the solvent molecules. In the presence of DNA, EB showed enhanced emission intensity due to its intercalative binding to DNA. A competitive binding of the copper complexes to CT DNA resulted in the displacement or quenching of the bound EB decreasing its emission intensity.

The extent of SC pUC19 DNA cleavage was monitored by agarose gel electrophoresis. The SC DNA (0.5 µg) in 50 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)methane-HCl (Tris-HCl) buffer (pH 7.2) containing 50 mM NaCl was treated with the metal complex (25–100 µM, 2 µL in DMF) followed by dilution with the buffer to a total volume of 18 µL. For photo-induced DNA cleavage studies, the reactions were carried out under illuminated conditions using UV source of 365 nm (12 W) or low powered CW He–Ne laser of 632.8 nm (Scientifica-Cook make, UK, 3 mW). After exposure to the light, each sample was incubated for 1 h at 37 °C in the dark and analyzed using gel electrophoresis. The inhibition reactions were carried out by adding reagents (distamycin, 75 µM; DMSO, 4 µL; sodium azide, 90 µM) prior to the addition of the complex. For the D₂O experiment, this solvent was used for dilution to 18 µL. Eppendorf and glass vials were used for the UV and visible light experiments, respectively, at 25 °C in a dark room. The samples after incubation were added to the loading buffer containing 25% bromophenol blue, 0.25% xylene cyanol, 30% glycerol (3 µL) and the solution was finally loaded on 0.8% agarose gel containing 1.0 µg mL⁻¹ ethidium bromide. Electrophoresis was carried out in a dark chamber for 2 h at 60 V in TAE (Tris-acetate EDTA) buffer. Bands were visualized by UV light and photographed. The extent of DNA
cleavage was measured from the intensities of the bands using UVITEC Gel Documentation System. Due corrections were made for the low level of nicked circular (NC) form present in the original supercoiled (SC) DNA sample and for the low affinity of EB binding to SC compared to its NC form.\textsuperscript{55} The concentration of the complex and additives corresponded to the quantity of the sample in 2 µL stock solution used. The final concentration was one ninth of the given concentration as the stock solution was diluted with buffer to 18 µL after addition of 0.8 µL SC DNA solution.
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